called 7-29-97 phone # no longer in service

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

95/8 01010815

PROPERTY ADDRESS

Town Or Plantation	Augusta
Location Lot #	Allenwood Park Road

PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME

Last: Stetson	First: Natalie
Applicant Name:	Natalie Stetson
Mailing Address of Owner/Applicant (If Different)	R-6 B-1795 Gardiner ME 04345

AUGUSTA CAUTION: PERM 3732 TOWN COPY

Date Permit Issued: 7/30/97 $ 120.00 FEE ☐ If Double Fee Charged

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature L.P.I. # 808

OWNER/APPLICANT STATEMENT

I certify that the information submitted is correct to the best of my knowledge and understand that any falsification is reason for the Local Plumbing Inspector to deny a Permit.

Natalie Stetson

Signature of Owner/Applicant Date

CAUTION: INSPECTION REQUIRED

I have inspected the installation authorized above and found it to be in compliance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

Local Plumbing Inspector Signature Date Approved

PERMIT INFORMATION

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:

1. ☐ NEW SYSTEM
2. ☒ REPLACEMENT SYSTEM
3. ☐ EXPANDED SYSTEM
4. ☐ EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM

SEASONAL CONVERSION

to be completed by the LPI

1. ☐ SYSTEM COMPLIES WITH RULES
2. ☐ CONNECTED TO SANITARY SEWER
3. ☐ SYSTEM INSTALLED - P# _____
4. ☐ SYSTEM DESIGN RECORDED AND ATTACHED

REPLACEMENT SYSTEM:

YEAR FAILING SYSTEM INSTALLED ?

THE FAILING SYSTEM IS

1. ☐ BED 3. ☒ TRENCH
2. ☐ CHAMBER 4. ☐ OTHER _____

SIZE OF PROPERTY	ZONING
20,000'+	Residential

THIS APPLICATION REQUIRES:

1. ☐ NO RULE VARIANCE
2. ☐ NEW SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach New System Variance Form
3. ☒ REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE Attach Replacement System Variance Form
   a. ☒ Requires Local Plumbing Inspector Approval
   b. ☐ Requires State and Local Plumbing Inspector Approval
4. ☐ MINIMUM LOT SIZE VARIANCE

DISPOSAL SYSTEM TO SERVE:

1. ☒ SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING
2. ☐ MODULAR OR MOBILE HOME
3. ☐ MULTIPLE FAMILY DWELLING
4. ☐ OTHER _____ SPECIFY

INSTALLATION IS:

COMPLETE SYSTEM

1. ☒ NON-ENGINEERED SYSTEM
2. ☐ PRIMITIVE SYSTEM (Includes Alternative Toilet)
3. ☐ ENGINEERED (+ 2000 gpd)

INDIVIDUALLY INSTALLED COMPONENTS

4. ☐ TREATMENT TANK (ONLY)
5. ☐ HOLDING TANK _____ GAL.
6. ☐ ALTERNATIVE TOILET (ONLY)
7. ☐ NON-ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)
8. ☐ ENGINEERED DISPOSAL AREA (ONLY)
9. ☐ SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM

TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY

Dug well

DESIGN DETAILS (SYSTEM LAYOUT SHOWN ON PAGE 3)

TREATMENT TANK

1. ☒ SEPTIC: ☐ Regular ☒ Low Profile
2. ☐ AEROBIC

SIZE 750 GALS.

WATER CONSERVATION

1. ☒ NONE
2. ☐ LOW VOLUME TOILET
3. ☐ SEPARATED LAUNDRY SYSTEM
4. ☐ ALTERNATIVE TOILET SPECIFY _____

PUMPING

1. ☐ NOT REQUIRED
2. ☐ MAY BE REQUIRED (DEPENDING ON TREATMENT TANK LOCATION & ELEVATION)
3. ☒ REQUIRED

DOSE: 41 GALS.

CRITERIA USED FOR DESIGN FLOW (BEDROOMS, SEATING) EMPLOYEES, WATER RECORDS, ETC.)

1 bedroom @ minimum design volume of 180

DESIGN FLOW: 180 (GALLONS/DAY)

SOIL CONDITIONS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

PROFILE	CONDITION
2	A

DEPTH TO LIMITING FACTOR: 24 "

SIZE RATINGS USED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES

1. ☐ SMALL
2. ☐ MEDIUM
3. ☒ MEDIUM-LARGE
4. ☐ LARGE
5. ☐ EXTRA-LARGE

DISPOSAL AREA TYPE/SIZE

1. ☐ BED _____ Sq. Ft.
2. ☒ CHAMBER 288 Sq. Ft. ☒ REGULAR ☐ H-20
3. ☐ TRENCH _____ Linear Ft.
4. ☐ OTHER: _____

SITE EVALUATOR STATEMENT

7/31/95 (date) I conducted a site evaluation for this project and certify that the data reported is accurate. The [illegible] proposal is in accordance with the Subsurface Wastewater Disposal Rules.

[signature] W. Rickert

Site Evaluator Signature

51 SE#

8/2/95 Date

Approved for use as HHE 200 by Division of Health Engineering 9/87

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

01010815

Town, City, Plantation: Augusta

Street, Road, Subdivision: Allenwood Park Road

Owner's Name: Stetson, Natalie

SITE PLAN

Scale: 1" = 50 ft. or as shown





SOIL DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION

(Location of Observation Holes shown ABOVE)

Observation Hole 1 ☐ Test Pit ☒ Boring

+12" Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil

Inches	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0				
6	S.C.	FRIABLE	B.	
10				
15				
20	G.L.		Y.B.	18"
30	BEDROCK 24"			FEW
40				
50				

Soil Classification: Profile ___ Condition ___

Slope: 4 %

Limiting Factor: 24 ☐ Ground Water ☐ Restr. Layer ☒ Bedrock

Observation Hole ___ ☐ Test Pit ☐ Boring

___" Depth of Organic Horizon Above Mineral Soil

Depth below Mineral Surface (Inches)	Texture	Consistency	Color	Mottling
0				
6				
10				
15				
20				
30				
40				
50				

Soil Classification: Profile ___ Condition ___

Slope: ___ %

Limiting Factor: ___ ☐ Ground Water ☐ Restr. Layer ☐ Bedrock

Dave W. Richard
Site Evaluator Signature

51
SE#

8/2/95
Date

Approved for use as HHE 200 by Division of Health Engineering 9/87

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

Town, City, Plantation: AUGUSTA

Street, Road, Subdivision: ALLENWOOD PK RD

Owners Name: STETSON

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PLAN

Scale 1" = 10 FT. OR AS SHOWN



FILL REQUIREMENTS

Depth of Fill (Upslope) 19'

Depth of Fill (Downslope) 30'

CONSTRUCTION ELEVATIONS

Reference Elevation is 0

Bottom of Disposal Area -60"

Top of Distribution Lines or Chambers -47"

ELEVATION REFERENCE POINT LOCATION & DESCRIPTION

NAIL IN 8" OAK TREE

DISPOSAL AREA CROSS SECTION

Scale

Vertical: 1 inch = 5 FT.

Horizontal: 1 inch = 10 FT.

1 Loamy sand fill, loamed and seeded, 3% crown, 6 min over unit, out to 4:1. 2 2' clean 1½" crushed stone, covered with 2" compacted hay. 3; sandy gravel fill to 2:1 -4; - 8'x8' chambers. 5 9 - 4'x8' chambers.

NOTE: COVER JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS WITH HAY OR FILTER FABRIC.



Site Evaluator Signature

51 SE#

8/2/95 Date

Page 3 of 3

REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE REQUEST

THE LIMITATIONS OF THE REPLACEMENT SYSTEM VARIANCE REQUEST

This form shall be attached to an application for the proposed replacement system which does not comply with the Rules. The LPI shall review the Replacement System Variance Request and Application and may approve the Request if all of the following requirements can be met, and the variance(s) requested fall within the limits of LPI's authority.

1. The proposed design meets the definition of a Replacement System from the rules.
2. A system cannot be designed and installed in total compliance with the Rules.
3. The design flow is less than 500 GPD.
4. There will be no change in use of the structure.
5. The replacement system is determined by the Site Evaluator and LPI to be the most practical method to treat and dispose of the wastewater.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Town of AUGUSTA

Permit No. _____ E

Date Permit Issued _____ MONTH/DAY/YEAR

Property Owner's Name: NATALIE STETSON Tel. No. 582-3142

System's Location: ALLENWOOD PARK ROAD (STREET)

AUGUSTA (TOWN) Maine 04330 (ZIP)

Property Owner's Address: B-6 B-1795 (STREET)
(If different from above)

GARDINER (TOWN) ME (STATE) 04345 (ZIP)

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS TO THE:

LPI:
If any of the variances exceed your approval authority and/or do not meet all of the requirements listed under the Limitations Section above, they you are to send this Replacement System Variance Request, along with the Application, to the Department for review and approval consideration before issuing a Permit. (See reverse side for Comments Section and your signature.)

SITE EVALUATOR:
If after completing the Application, you find that a variance for the proposed replacement system is needed, then complete the Replacement Variance Request with your signature on reverse side of form.

PROPERTY OWNER:
It has been determined by the Site Evaluator that a variance to the Rules is required for the proposed replacement system. This variance request is due to physical limitations of the site and/or soil conditions. Both the Site Evaluator and the LPI have considered the site/soil restrictions and have concluded that a replacement system in total compliance with the Rules is not possible.

The OWNER shall sign this statement. Therefore, having read both this Replacement Variance Request and the attached Application, I understand that the proposed system is not in total compliance with the Rules and hereby release all those concerned with this Variance, provided they have performed their duties in a reasonable and proper manner.

Natalie Stetson

PROPERTY OWNER'S SIGNATURE _____ DATE _____

HHE-204 REV 2/98

VARIANCE CATEGORY	VARIANCE REQUESTED	LIMIT OF LPI'S APPROVAL AUTHORITY		VARIANCE REQUESTED TO:	
SITE					
Soil Profile	Ground Water Table	to 6"		___ Inches	
Soil Condition	Restrictive Layer	to 6"		___ Inches	
from HHE-200	Bedrock	to 10"		___ Inches	
SETBACK DISTANCES (IN FEET)	FROM:	TREATMENT TANK	DISPOSAL AREA	TREATMENT TANK	DISPOSAL AREA
Potable Water Supplies	1. Well: > 2000 gal/day	100'	300'		
	2. Well: < 2000 gal/day				
	a. Neighbor's	50'b	60'b		
	b. Property Owner's	25'	50'	30'	
	3. Water Supply Line	See note 'a'			
Waterbodies	1. Perennial	50'	60'	50'	
	2. Intermittent	15'	20'		
	3. Manmade drainage ditch	10'	15'		
Downhill Slope	Greater than 3:1 (33%)	5'c	10'c		
Buildings	1. With Basement	5'	10'		
	2. Without Basement	5'	10'		
Property Line		4'	5'		

OTHER

1. ~~[illegible]~~

2.

3.

Footnotes:

a. This setback distance cannot be reduced by variance. See Table 6-2.

b. Written Permission from the owner of a well is required when a replacement system will be located less than 100 feet but closer to that well than the system it is replacing.

c. Sufficient distance shall be maintained to assure that the toe of the fill does not extend to the 3:1 slope.

[signature] 8/2/95

SITE EVALUATOR'S SIGNATURE DATE

LPI STATEMENT

I, George A. Lewis, LPI for the Town of Augusta have conducted an on-site inspection for the proposed replacement system and have determined to the best of my knowledge, that it cannot be installed in total compliance with the Rules, applicable Municipal Wastewater Disposal Ordinances, or the Local Shoreland Zoning Ordinance. As a result of my review of the Replacement System Variance Request, the Application, and my on-site investigation, I (check and complete either a or b):

☑ a. (☑ approve, ☐ disapprove) the variance request based on my authority to grant this variance. Note: If the LPI does not give his approval, he shall list his reasons for denial in Comments Section below and return to the applicant.

—OR—

☐ b. find that one or more of the requested Variances exceeds my approval authority as LPI. I (☐ recommend ☐ do not recommend) the Department's approval of the variances. Note: If the LPI does not recommend the Department's approval, he shall state his reasons in Comments Section below as to why the proposed replacement system is not being recommended.

Comments:

[signature] DATE

LPI'S SIGNATURE

FOR USE BY THE DEPARTMENT ONLY

The Department has reviewed the variance(s) and (☐ does ☐ does not) give its approval. Any additional requirements, recommendations, or reasons for the Variance denial, are given in the attached letter.

SIGNATURE OF THE DEPARTMENT DATE

FAX TRANSMITTAL

DATE: 7-8-97 TIME SENT: 3:50 PM

I. FOLLOWING TELECOPY, CONSISTING OF 5 PAGE(S) PLUS FAX TRANSMITTAL, IS BEING SENT:

TO:
George Soucy

FAX #: 626-2520

FROM:
Coutts Bros. Inc.
Tracy Coutts -

FAX #: (207)588-0237

ANY NOTES:

Here is the paperwork you requested.
Thank you -

FAX TRANSMITTAL COMPLETED BY: Pam Ellis