

PROOFED

WYMAN COURT?

From Jr. Bd. Ald.
Vol. 11, Page 30

August 13, 1896

PETITION FOR DISCONTINUANCE~

The PETITION of John P. Wyman for discontinuance of a private way from Bridge to Bennett Street was read, and referred to the Committee on Highways. Sent Down for Concurrence.

*****~

PROOFED

WYMAN COURT

From Jr. Bd. Ald.
Vol. 11, Page 35

September 10, 1896

PETITION~

PETITION of John Parsons and others to lay out and accept a street running from Bennett to Bridge Street. Referred to Committee on New Streets, and order of notice directed.

*****~

PROOFED

WYMAN COURT

From Jr. Bd. Ald.
Vol. 11, Page 70

March 11, 1897

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE~

The Committee on New Streets to whom was referred the petition of John Parsons and others for a new street bounded north by Bennett Street and south by Bridge Street reported that the same be referred to the next City Council.

Report accepted, Send down for Concurrence.

*****~

PROOFED

WYMAN COURT

From Jr. Bd. Ald.
Vol. 11, Page 93

April 15, 1897

SENT DOWN FOR CONCURRENCE~

The PETITION of John Parsons and others to lay out a street from Bennett to Bridge Street (see pa. 35) which was referred by the last to the present City Council (see pa. 70) was read and referred to the Committee on New Streets and order of notice directed.

Sent down for concurrence.

*****~

PROOFED

WYMAN COURT

From Jr. Bd. Ald.
Vol. 11, Page 175

March 10, 1898~

SENT DOWN FOR CONCURRENCE~

The Committee on New Streets to whom was referred the petition of John Parsons and others for the laying out and acceptance of a street running from Bennett Street to Bridge Street, (See pages~ 35, 70 and 93).

Reports read and accepted and sent down for concurrence.

(Abandoned)

*****~

From marc Wed Nov 10 14:32:04 1993
Date: Wed, 10 Nov 93 14:31:59 EST
To: mdd
Status: RO

Mad, it appears that Wyman Court was never accepted. If it had been accepted it was not maintained by the City during the 30 year window specified in state law. Do you need more than this for the file?